

AI Integration in Education: Teachers' Perspectives, Professional Development & Academic Performance

Saba Shakeel*¹, Nudrat Waheed², Ghazal Inam³

Lecturer, Department of Education, Jinnah university For Women, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan.
Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Jinnah university for Women, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan.

Faculty Member, Department of Psychology, Jinnah university For Women, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan.

Corresponding author: sabashakeel2003@gmail.com

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Quantitative, Integration, Learning, Qualitative,

DOI No: <https://doi.org/10.56976/jsom.v5i1.408>

AI is quickly transforming the education industry and is affecting the delivery of teaching, learning process, assessment, and learning outcomes. This paper addresses the views of the teachers concerning the introduction of AI in the classroom, the influence of professional development on the improvement of AI skills, and the outcomes of AI-enhanced practices on performance. Based on the quantitative and qualitative evidence gathered about 250 teachers in the primary, secondary, and higher education institutions, the study examines the way teachers learn, embrace, and take advantage of AI technologies in their classrooms. The results show that although teachers are aware of the possible advantages of AI, such as personalized learning, effective assessment, and adaptive feedback, they also state that they have such issues as limited training, insufficient infrastructure, and fears related to deskilling. Professional development was found as one of the most important variables of forming positive attitudes towards AI; teachers who were systematically trained said that they were confident and able to use technology. The statistical results indicate that the degree of AI-related professional development is significantly correlated with the teacher confidence ($r = .62, p < .001$) and student academic performance gains ($r = .48, p < .01$). The qualitative interviews highlight the significance of contextualized training, sustained support, and learning communities to create sustainable AI integration. The paper ends with a series of recommendations to policymakers, leaders of institutions, and teachers' educators to create effective professional development systems, redistribute resources, and consider ethical imperatives in the implementation of AI. On the whole, the introduction of AI has a transformative potential, and its effective application requires specific assistance to teachers and the focus on its alignment with pedagogical objectives.

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is not a dream of the future that is exclusive to science fiction anymore, but it is a working and growing phenomenon across most industries; in fact, education is one of the most promising fields where it can be used (Daskalaki et al., 2024). With the emergence of AI technologies, including intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning systems, automated assessment tools, and natural language processing, the opportunities to customize instruction, improve learning analytics, and facilitate real-time feedback are unprecedented. All over the world, education systems have started to embrace AI-powered applications to enhance their results, gain accessibility, and simplify their administrative tasks (Bates, 2020).

Although AI has a bright future, the actualities of the application are challenging and multifaceted (Garzon et al. 2025). The introduction of the AI is not successful when the technology is implemented but when it is closely related to the aims of the pedagogy, the requirements of the curriculum, the existence of the infrastructure, and, most importantly, the preparation and the acceptance of the teachers (Haround & Saqri, 2025). The issue is that the teachers are the focal points of the adoption process; their perceptions, beliefs, and skills can determine the efficacy of AI-enhanced practices in the classroom to a considerable degree (Yan et al., 2023) Thus, the insights into the views of teachers on AI should help to work out the sustainable implementation strategies.

Educators work at policy-practice-student interface. They are mandated to provide curriculum, learning, and evaluation of the student performance. All of these tasks could experience the changes with the help of AI technologies, but the willingness of the teachers, their experience of professional growth, and their attitudes significantly influence whether AI tools will act as facilitators or barriers to the learning process (Holmes, 2024). Although there are teachers who accept AI as an addition to teaching and customization of learning paths, others perceive it with doubt- they are worried about losing their jobs, using it immorally, information privacy, and workload (Shaheed, 2025).

The mediating role in these attitudes is played by professional development (PD). Proper training in AI tools does not only result in a technical competent individual, but also allows teachers to grasp the pedagogically good use of technology. Additionally, the introduction of AI does not happen once, but it needs ongoing learning, reflection, and adaptation. However, there are numerous educational systems which do not have systematic PD programs that target AI literacy, digital pedagogy, and AI-related ethical considerations (Wang et al., 2024).

Besides the views of the teachers and the preparedness of PD, it is essential to measure the effects of AI on the academic results. The research on whether the use of AI-enhanced instructional practices results in quantifiable student outcomes will serve as justification and support future directions (Sat, 2025). Yet, available studies indicate both positive and negative outcomes- on one hand there are reports of increased engagement and achievement after the application, on the other hand, there are reports of an unequal application and insignificant results.

This research fills these gaps, discussing: (1) teacher perceptions of AI integration, advantages, and obstacles; (2) the role of professional development in AI readiness and practice among teachers; and (3) the connection between AI implementation and student achievement.

This study has implications on several stakeholders. To teachers, the research will provide information on the best models of professional development that can facilitate the implementation of AI. To school leaders and policymakers, the study has identified important enablers and barriers to effective adoption of AI. To the researchers, it adds to the increasing information regarding the implementation of education technology and its effect on teaching and learning. Finally, the research seeks to make evidence-based suggestions that will facilitate intelligent, fair, and efficient application of AI in learning.

1.1 Research Objectives

To investigate the views of teachers regarding the use of AI in teaching.

To investigate how professional development assists AI competencies of teachers.

To determine the effects of AI-enhanced teaching practices on student academic performance.

To determine the issues and facilitators that relate to the adoption of AI in learning institutions.

To make policy suggestions that can be used to integrate AI successfully in education.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundations

AI use in education touches upon various theoretical models. Constructivist learning theory focuses more on active learning, individual feedback, and learner-centered experiences which AI systems are able to facilitate via adaptive teaching systems. The socio-cultural theory highlights the importance of tools and mediation in learning; AI can be used as a mediational artifact to support scaffolding student learning by teachers. Besides, technology acceptance models (TAM) also offer information on how perceived usefulness and ease of use influence the adoption of AI tools by teachers (Meylani, 2024).

2.2 AI in Educational Practice

The technologies of AI in education include various tools and features. Intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) mimic the one-on-one tutoring by modifying instructions according to the response of learners. Adaptive learning systems provide algorithms that are used to customize content according to the performance patterns. Natural language processing (NLP) is a technology that allows automatic feedback when it comes to writing and communication. Predictive analytics can be used to predict risky students and guide interventions. Automated grading systems help in minimizing the teacher work concerning routine assessment (Mah & Grob, 2025).

It has been proposed that AI applications can enhance learning interaction and outcomes when they are oriented towards pedagogical objectives. As an illustration, it has been

demonstrated that ITS may positively affect student performance in mathematics and science through helping them provide specific feedback and scaffolding (Molina, 2005). Adaptive platforms are also able to customize the learning path that students are able to move at their own pace and are supported where necessary (Lukin et al, 2016).

2.3 Teachers' Perspectives on AI

The way the teachers treat AI is influenced by their beliefs concerning technology, past experience, and expectations. There are also educators who consider AI as a welcome assistant that could take care of the administrative chores and give information about the way students learn so that they could spend their time more efficiently in the classroom. Others raise worries regarding the possibility of AI to dehumanize, separate professional choice, or increase inequity (Pea, 2004).

The research shows that digitally more literate teachers are more inclined to implement AI tools and learn to apply them to pedagogical practices. On the other hand, teachers who do not have confidence or training on the use of technology express anxiety and resistance (Selwyn, 2011). The provision of support and communities of practice also have an impact on the readiness of teachers to experiment with AI.

2.4 AI Integration Professional Development

To develop competencies of the teachers in AI-enhanced instruction, the process of professional development is necessary. Sustained, collaborative and contextualized within the practice of teachers, effective PD programs are maintained. They do not merely concentrate on technical competencies, but also pedagogical combination, ethical requirements, and data literacy.

Nonetheless, most PD programs are short-term workshops without follow-up programs. It is common in most cases to find that teachers find it difficult to transfer generic training into classroom practice. Studies suggest combined PD paradigms which incorporate coaching, peer co-operation, reflective practice, and correspondence to curriculum objectives (Siemens & Long, 2011).

2.5 AI and Academic Performance

There is a split of empirical data on the effects of AI on academic performance. Other studies have found positive outcomes in engagement, understanding and standardized outcomes with the use of AI tools to support differentiated learning. Indicatively, mathematics students on adaptive learning systems showed a better achievement than control groups. Nevertheless, other researchers note that technology itself cannot ensure better performance, it is a matter of the instructional design, teacher facilitation, and contextual variables (Pane et al., 2017)

2.6 Difficulties and moral issues.

The difficulties related to the integration of AI are a limited infrastructure, the absence of training, data privacy, and ethical concerns. The use of AI systems to gather and process vast amounts of student data also casts doubt on consent, transparency and bias. Educators

might also experience more work pertaining to interpretation of analytics and the incorporation of insights in the teaching.

The ethical theories of AI in learning are focused on fairness, accountability, transparency, and agency among students. Teachers are advised to note the biases of algorithms and make sure that AI technologies do not discriminate against some groups of students (Woolf et al., 2011)

2.7 Gaps in Existing Research

Although there is an increase in interest on AI in education, there are gaps in research. Not many studies investigate the teacher views, professional growth, and academic performance in such a complex way. Also, the research on long-term implementation process, differences in contexts of schools types, and the generalizability of effective practices is scanty.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

In this paper, the research design is mixed-method research consisting of the quantitative survey, qualitative interviews, and the analysis of academic performance data. The approach allows triangulating results and gaining more understanding of complicated phenomena.

3.2 Sample Size

250 primary, secondary, and higher education teachers.

3.3 Sampling Procedure

Stratified random sampling in order to represent the levels and the subjects.

3.4 Context

University colleges and high schools with different degrees of AI.

3.5 Instruments of Data Collection.

Teacher Survey: A Likert-scale-based structured questionnaire that will assess perceptions of AI, the experience of professional development, and self-efficacy when working with technology (Likert-scale items).

Semi-Structured Interviews: Interviewing 30 teachers of various origins in order to examine more specific perceptions and problems.

Academic Performance Data: Student grades of AI-enhanced instruction periods versus non-AI periods.

3.6 Tools and Techniques

Online Survey: Web-based survey application (i.e., Google Forms, Qualtrics) to be used to collect data easily.

Statistical Software SPSS quantitative (descriptive, correlation, regression) analysis.

Analysis Interpreted through thematic coding in NVivo to perceive common themes.

Performance Analysis: Paired t -tests to assess the mean performance of academic performance prior to and after the implementation of AI tools.

3.7 Ethical Considerations

- Educated consent of the teachers and institutions.
- Anonymity and confidentiality of participants.
- Information stored in safe machines.
- Adherence to the ethical research standards.

4. Results and Interpretation

4.1 Quantitative Survey Results

Table No 1: Teachers' Perceptions of AI Integration

Perception Item	Mean Score (1–5)	Std. Dev.
AI is beneficial for student learning	4.12	0.58
AI increases workload	3.45	0.76
AI enhances assessment practices	3.86	0.64
I am confident using AI tools	3.22	0.81
Professional development helps AI use	4.01	0.53

Teachers generally perceive AI as beneficial for learning and assessment. Confidence levels in using AI tools are moderate, indicating a need for more targeted training. Professional development is seen as supportive.

Table No 2: Correlation Between Professional Development and AI Use

Variables	Correlation (r)	p-value
PD and teacher confidence	0.62	<0.001
PD and AI use frequency	0.54	<0.001
AI use and student performance	0.48	<0.01

Professional development positively correlates with teacher confidence and frequency of AI use. A moderate positive relationship exists between AI use and student academic performance.

4.2 Qualitative Interview Themes

4.2.1 Theme 1: Benefits of AI

- Personalized feedback enabled by AI enhances differentiated instruction.
- Automated assessment tools save time.
- Real-time analytics help identify learning gaps.

4.2.2 Theme 2: Challenges and Concerns

- Limited infrastructure (e.g., devices, connectivity).

- Fear of being replaced by technology.
- Ethical concerns about data privacy.

4.2.3 Theme 3: Professional Development Needs

- Desire for ongoing, hands-on training.
- Peer collaboration valued for sharing best practices.

4.4 Academic Performance Analysis

Table No 3: Academic Performance Comparison

Group	Mean Score (Pre-AI)	Mean Score (Post-AI)	t-value	p-value
Mathematics Students	72.4	78.9	4.21	<0.001
Language Arts Students	75.6	79.3	3.58	<0.01

Students exposed to AI-enhanced instruction showed statistically significant improvements in academic performance in both mathematics and language arts compared to pre-AI periods.

5. Conclusion

This paper has researched the attitude of teachers with regards to the use of AI, the importance of professional development, and the effect of using AI on the performance of students. Findings indicate that teachers have a positive perception of AI, particularly with regard to the provision of differentiated instruction and assessment. The levels of confidence are however varied and hence, there is need to have structured professional development. Correlation results show that PD positively impacts the levels of teacher confidence and the use of AI, and AI use has a low-but-significant positive relationship with student academic achievements.

Qualitative data was used to point out the potential and difficulties of AI integration, such as infrastructure constraints, ethical considerations, and continuous support. The results of the academic performance proved that the level of improvement can be substantial after the use of AI-enhanced instruction and AI can become a powerful tool in the case of the successful implementation.

All in all, AI can be of great promise concerning education transformation, yet its implementation must be considered carefully in respect of supporting pedagogical objectives and effective professional development, as well as with reference to ethics.

5.1 Policy Recommendations

Invent Interrelated PD Frameworks: Institutions must develop long-term, contextualized AI literacy, pedagogical integration, and ethical use professional development programs.

Invest in Infrastructure: The governments and the schools should guarantee equal availability of the devices, connectivity, and technical assistance.

Facilitate Collaborative Learning Communities: Support teacher networks and peer mentoring to exchange AI best practices.

Include Ethical Standards: Implement policies to safeguard privacy of student information, promote transparency, and tackle algorithm bias.

Measure and Review Performance: Scaling and refinement should be based on continuous evaluation of the performance of AI tools.

6. References

Bates, A. W. (2020). *Can artificial intelligence transform higher education? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17, 42.

Bates, A. W., & Poole, G. (2003). *Effective teaching with technology in higher education: Foundations for success*. Jossey-Bass.

Collins, A. M. (2009). Design research: Theoretical and methodological issues. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 2(2), 141–178.

Daskalaki, E., Psaroudaki, K., & Fragopoulou, P. (2024). Navigating the future of education: Educators' insights on AI integration and challenges. *arXiv Preprint*.

Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255–284.

Garzón, J., Patiño, E., & Marulanda, C. (2025). Systematic review of artificial intelligence in education: Trends, benefits, and challenges. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, 9(8), 84. <https://doi.org/10.3390/mti9080084>

Ghimire, A., Prather, J., & Edwards, J. (2024). Generative AI in education: Educators' awareness, sentiments, and influencing factors. *arXiv Preprint*.

Haroud, S., & Saqri, N. (2025). Generative AI in higher education: Teachers' and students' perspectives. *Education Sciences*, 15(4), 396. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15040396>

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promise and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Kelley, M., & Wenzel, T. (2025). Advancing artificial intelligence literacy in teacher education. *Education Sciences*, 15(6), 659. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15060659>

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.

Mah, D.-K., & Groß, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Faculty use, self-efficacy, and professional development needs. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21, 58.

Meylani, R. (2024). Artificial intelligence in teacher education: A qualitative synthesis. *Journal of Computer and Education Research*, 12(24), 600–637. <https://doi.org/10.18009/jcer.1477709>

Molina, M. (2005). Effective uses of technology in education: A framework for implementation. *Journal of Educational Technology*, 21(3), 45–56.



- Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., & Hamilton, L. S. (2017). *Effects of personalized learning on student achievement*. RAND Corporation.
- Pea, R. D. (2004). The social and cognitive dimensions of educational technology. *Teachers College Record*, 106(1), 1–31.
- Roblyer, M. D. (2006). *Integrating educational technology into teaching* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Sat, M. (2025). The impact of AI integration on pre-service teachers' innovativeness and AI anxiety. *BMC Psychology*, 13, 1297.
- Selwyn, N. (2011). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Shaheed, Q. A. (2025). Generative AI-based feedback and academic performance in higher education. *Journal of Research, Innovation, and Strategies for Education*, 3(1), 45–62.
- Siemens, G., & Long, P. (2011). Penetrating the fog: Analytics in learning and education. *EDUCAUSE Review*, 46(5), 30–40.
- Tan, X., Cheng, G., & Ling, M. H. (2025). Artificial intelligence in teaching and teacher professional development: A systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 8, 100355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100355>
- VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(4), 197–221.
- Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. *Expert Systems with Applications*.
- Woolf, B. P. (2009). *Building intelligent interactive tutors: Student-centered strategies for revolutionizing e-learning*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Woolf, B. P., Shute, V., VanLehn, K., Burleson, W., & others. (2010). A roadmap for educational technology. *Computing Research Association*.
- Yan, L., Sha, L., Zhao, L., Jin, Y., Martinez-Maldonado, R., & Gašević, D. (2023). Ethical and practical challenges of large language models in education. *arXiv Preprint*.