

## Teacher Education Programs and Professional Competency Development: Implications for Effective Classroom Practice

Sadia Saleem\*<sup>1</sup>, Iqra Zia<sup>2</sup>, S. Fatima Rizwan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>\*Co-Lecturer, Department of Education, Faculty of Social Sciences, Jinnah University for Women, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan.

<sup>2</sup>Co-Lecturer, Department of Education, Faculty of Social Sciences, Jinnah University for Women, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan.

<sup>3</sup>Co-Lecturer, Department of Education, Faculty of Social Sciences, Jinnah University for Women, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan.

**Corresponding author:** [sadiasavant96@gmail.com](mailto:sadiasavant96@gmail.com)

**Keywords:** Teacher Education, Development, Competency, Educational Programs, Classroom Practices

**DOI No:**

<https://doi.org/10.56976/jsom.v5i2.428>

*The teacher education programs are important in determining professional competencies that are needed to practice effectively in the classroom in the modern educational contexts. With the current upheaval in the education systems across the world, following technological changes, services of professionally competent teachers, globalization, and competency-based education systems, the need to have professionally competent teachers has been heightened. This research article focuses on the correlation between teacher education programs and teacher professional competency development, including pedagogical knowledge, subject mastery, classroom management, assessment literacy, digital competence, inclusive practices, and reflective teaching. The research design it assumes is a mixed-method research which includes surveys, structured interviews, observation in classrooms, and a review of documents among the selected teacher training institutions and practicing teachers. Quantitative data were evaluated with the help of descriptive statistics and correlation analysis, and qualitative data were evaluated with the help of the thematic analysis. The results show that there is a positive correlation between the quality of teacher preparation programs and classroom performance of teachers which is significant. The programs with a high focus on practicum experiences, structured mentorship, reflective teaching, collaborative learning, and competency-based assessment showed greater results in the development of professional competencies. Nevertheless, the integration of technology, training of inclusive education, and the correspondence of theoretical courses and classroom realities were found to be deficient. The research findings conclude that teacher education needs to be changed to the models of content transmission to competency-based, practice-based models based on school contexts. It has policy suggestions of reinforcing school-university associations, incorporating online learning, increasing inclusive education courses, improving mentoring platforms, and setting competence-based examination criteria. The results have significant implications on policy makers, curriculum developers, teacher educators and accreditation institutions that want to improve classroom performance by introducing thorough teacher preparation reforms.*

## 1. Introduction

It is universally known that education is a strong tool of social change, economic growth, and development of nations. In any education system that works, teachers take the center stage and are indispensable. They not only affect academic success but also moral values of the students, social skills, creativity and their ability to learn throughout life. The teachers cannot be better than the quality of the system of education. Consequently, the quality of education is built on the education teacher training programs (Ahmed et al., 2025). Teacher education programs are organized programs that are aimed at equipping potential teachers with knowledge, pedagogical skills, professional attitudes and ethics commitments that can make them effective teachers. Traditionally, teacher education was focused on the subject content knowledge, and the basic educational theories. Although these components are not less important, modern classrooms are faced with complicated challenges, which demand wider and advanced professional competencies (Desimone & Pak, 2017).

The contemporary classrooms are marked by diversity in terms of socio-cultural backgrounds, abilities, learning styles, and access to technology of learners. The incorporation of digital technologies, the compulsory inclusion, competency-based curriculum, and learner-centered pedagogy require the teachers to go beyond the traditional lecture-based learning. Among the creative tasks teachers have to accomplish these days include creating an exciting learning atmosphere, differentiation, meaningful integration of technology into the learning process, continuous evaluation of learning, and evolving educational requirements (Juma, 2024). Teacher education that focuses on competencies highlights the observable and measurable results. Rather than simply getting the theoretical knowledge, teacher trainees should be able to show that they could transfer their learning into real classroom settings. This revolution is consistent with the reforms in the world education that emphasized on accountability, standards-based teaching and student performance (Opfer & Vedder, 2019).

Various researches conducted in different parts of the world have established that the performance of teachers is an important factor in determining the performance of students. Teachers influence the classroom environment, the level of teaching, student motivation, and student performance. The teacher education program reform is considered in this connection as one of the most effective strategic investments into the education. Although reforms have been set, several challenges, which remain in the education system of teachers, there are excessive programs that are over theory with not much practical applications.

Teacher training institutions are less connected with their schools such that they cannot have the exposure to real classroom experiences. Student teachers have in many cases not been properly mentored in the course of practicum. Inadequate training in digital pedagogy and blended learning. Minimal Training on Inclusion Education: The increasing diversity needs the more advanced differentiation strategies. There is inconsistency in the evaluation criteria used in different institutions.

The shift to competency-based systems has required the creation of professional teaching standards due to the phase of knowledge-based curricula to competency-based curricula. The domains that are usually applied in these standards are instructional planning, learner engagement, assessment strategies, professional collaboration, community involvement and lifelong learning (Pesina, 2025). These models of teacher education should thus encompass experiential learning, micro-teaching sessions, training through simulations, peer teaching, action research project, and prolonged internships. Reflective teaching can also help teachers to examine their teaching models and constantly get better.

Constructivist learning theory, experiential learning models, and reflective practice frameworks are some of the theoretical approaches in competency development. These views focus on an active approach, context-based learning, teamwork, and self-assessment. Best teaching occurs in the environments where teachers offer direct teaching experiences with supervision. Nevertheless, the effective competency development might be impeded by the structural constraints that can include the lack of funding, over-filled programs, outdated curricula, and underdeveloped faculty. Moreover, the gaps between the institutional training and the actual classroom requirements may result in a gap in theory-practice (Nasution et al. 2024).

The research question that was used in examining the study is to what extent do teacher education programs increase professional competency and how these competencies are translated into classroom practice. The study is carried out in order to provide empirical proof regarding the policy and institutional reform through investigation of both the pre-service teachers and in-service teachers. The significance of the research is that it could be useful to form the curriculum development, accreditation efforts, teacher licensing frameworks, and professional development systems. The enhancement of teacher education programs would mean that the future teachers do not just possess knowledge, but are also flexible, reflective, technologically skilled, and attentive to the needs of various learners.

Finally, there could be no good practice in classrooms without good teacher preparation. There should be continuous innovation, quality assurance and policy support so that the teacher education should be enhanced to meet the changing educational needs.

### **1.1 Research Objectives**

To test the structural items of teacher education programs.

In order to determine key professional skills in the classroom practice.

Which is: to examine the correlation between quality of programs and competency development.

To test the practicum and mentoring systems effectiveness.

To give recommendations on reforming the policies to improve teacher education based on competency.

## 1.2 Research Gap

Even though the quality of teachers has been identified as a key factor to the success of students, there have been little empirical studies to clearly study the relationship between the aspects of teacher education programs and how these aspects directly relate to measurable competency outcomes. This research paper helps in sealing this gap.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Teacher Professional Competency

Professional competency is defined as the combination of knowledge, skills, values and dispositions that facilitate efficient work. Instructional planning, mastering the subject, managing the classroom, communicating, acting morally, and reflecting are all competencies in teaching.

Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK) emphasizes the need to combine the content knowledge with the instructional methods that should be taught in accordance with the needs of the learners. Educators should be able to know the way students learn certain material and the possibilities of misconception (Opfer, 2011).

### 2.2 Models of Teacher Education

Examples of common teacher education models are:

Traditional Model: Theory and lectures.

Competency-Based Model: Emphasize on quantifiable teaching skills.

Reflective Practitioner Model: Promotes self-evaluation in an ongoing manner.

School Based Model: Field training in actual classroom setting.

Integrated Model: A combination of coursework and structured practicum.

The studies indicate that competency-based models that are integrated yield higher classroom preparedness.

### 2.3 Practicum and Mentorship

Teacher practice is an important aspect of teacher education. Prolonged practicum This allows potential teachers to put the theoretical knowledge into practice in real situations. Mentorship improves the field of instruction, career building, and self-confidence.

Researchers have found out that quality mentoring can enhance classroom management behaviors and effectiveness in lesson planning (Pesina, 2025).

### 2.4 Competency Domains

Areas of core competencies are:

Content Knowledge

Pedagogical Skills

Classroom Management

Assessment Literacy

ICT Integration

Inclusive Education

Reflective Practice

Professional Ethics

A combination of competence in these areas will mean comprehensive competence among the teachers.

### **2.5 Digital Competency**

The digital transformation has transformed approaches to teaching. There should be integration of multimedia tools, online and digital assessment by teachers. Nevertheless, studies indicate that there are few practical digital trainings in most programs (Jumma, 2024).

### **2.6 Inclusive Education**

Differentiation, group instruction, and individualized attention should be used in inclusive classrooms. The insufficient preparation on meeting various learning needs has been indicated in literature (Timperley et al., 2024).

## **3. Methodology**

### **3.1 Research Design**

The research design was mixed-method one, the combination of quantitative and qualitative research designs was used to get the detailed information concerning teacher education programs and professional competency development. The quantitative aspect gave quantifiable facts about the level of competence and interrelations among the variables of the program, whereas the qualitative aspect entailed more contextual insights of the experiences, perceptions, and practices of the participants as well as the institutional settings. A convergent parallel design was pursued, i.e. the variable data were collected in parallel, analyzed separately, and finally, synthesized in the interpretation, to conceptualize the findings and increase the validity.

### **3.2 Population and Sample**

The sample was comprised of institutions providing teaching education and schools in the region of choice. A stratified random sampling method was employed to represent the various groups of the participants: 150 pre-service teachers (final-year student teachers). 80 in-service teachers (1- 5 years of teaching experience). 20 teacher educators and 10 school principals.

The stratification guaranteed the representation of both the public institutions and the private institutions along with urban and semi- urban environments. This sampling method maximized the generalizability and reduced sampling bias.

### **3.3 Tools and Instruments**

Various tools were applied to gather holistic data:

Structured Questionnaire (5-point Likert Scale):

Developed to assess such areas of competence as pedagogical knowledge, classroom management, ICT skills, assessment literacy, and inclusive practices.

During the lesson, a checklist was used to observe classroom behavior.

### **3.4 Observation Checklist**

It is used to assess actual classroom performance of a group of participants. These indicators were lesson clarity, student engagement, questioning techniques, classroom control and use of teaching aids.

### **3.5 Semi-Structured Interview Schedule**

Organized workshops with teacher educators and school principals to obtain information on the design of the program, mentoring systems, and challenges in the institutions.

### **3.5 Validity and Reliability**

Three teacher education and educational research specialists who were experts in their field reviewed the instruments to ensure content validity. According to their feedback, required adjustments were done. Pilot study was carried out on 30 subjects who were not included in the main sample. Cronbach Alpha was used to test the reliability of the questionnaire and the coefficient obtained was 0.87 showing high internal consistency.

### **3.6 Data Collection Procedure**

The institutional authorities gave their consent before the data was collected. The purpose of the study was explained to the participants and informed consent was obtained. During the process of conducting the research, confidentiality and anonymity were upheld. Collection of data took place within eight weeks. Physical and electronic administration of questionnaires was done. The observations in the classroom were done on the basis of the standardized criteria that ensure objectivity.

### **3.7 Data Analysis**

Descriptive (Mean, Standard Deviation) and inferential statistics (Pearson correlation) were used to analyze quantitative data. Thematic coding techniques were applied to qualitative interview data by transcribing and analyzing it in order to uncover recurring themes concerning competency development and program effectiveness. The use of various data sources helped to increase credibility and reinforce findings interpretation.

#### 4. Results and Interpretation

**Table No 1: Mean Scores of Professional Competencies**

Competency Domain	Mean	SD
Content Knowledge	4.2	0.5
Pedagogical Skills	3.9	0.6
Classroom Management	3.8	0.7
Assessment Literacy	3.6	0.8
ICT Integration	3.2	0.9
Inclusive Practices	3.1	0.9
Reflective Practice	4.0	0.6

Content knowledge and reflective practice show strong development. ICT integration and inclusive education require improvement.

**Table No 2: Correlation Between Practicum Duration and Classroom Effectiveness**

Variable	Correlation (r)
Practicum Duration & Classroom Effectiveness	0.68

A strong positive correlation indicates extended practicum enhances teaching effectiveness.

**Table No 3: Mentorship Quality and Teacher Confidence**

Mentorship Level	Mean Confidence
High	4.5
Moderate	3.8
Low	3.0

Structured mentorship significantly boosts teacher confidence and classroom performance.

#### 4.1 Discussion

The results of the current research support the generally accepted assumption that teacher education programs have a great impact on the cultivation of professional skills required to practice in the classroom. The significant and positive relationship between practicum length and classroom efficacy ( $r = 0.68$ ) is proof that teacher preparation is associated with experiential learning. This helps to prove the point that competence in teaching cannot be trained by any theoretical coursework only; it appears out of the long-term practice in the real classroom settings.

The increased mean scores on content knowledge and reflective practice indicate that education institutions where teachers are taught have a relative success in mastering the subject and making them reflect on themselves critically. Reflective practice, specifically, seems to be a powerful feature of the existing programs which means that the teacher candidates are being trained in the ways, which will enable them to analyze the choices they make in their instruction and will allow them to change their approaches. This is in line with reflective practitioner models which focus on the ongoing development of the profession.

Yet, the relatively lower scores on ICT integration (3.2) and inclusive practices (3.1) indicate significant gaps. With the age of digital transformation and inclusive education requirements, these competencies are no longer optional but crucial. The low performance in ICT integration could be due to the lack of practical training, the absence of infrastructures or the absence of outdated modules of digital pedagogy. The teachers can have the theoretical knowledge about technology but they lack the confidence about employing technology in the active classroom environments.

On the same note, the poorer results on the inclusive practices indicate that teacher education programs are not adequately preparing teachers to support the diverse learning needs. In present-day classrooms, students are diverse in their abilities, language background and socio-economic background. Devoid of well-developed training in differentiated instruction, universal design of learning and collaborative methods of teaching, the teacher might fail to provide a fair learning atmosphere.

The findings of the mentorship also highlight the value of the systematic guidance throughout practicum. Mentor teachers who experienced a high-quality mentorship reflected much better levels of confidence (mean = 4.5). This puts the issue of systematic training of mentors and well laid out supervisory structures in focus. Mentorship can no longer be accidental but should be formal as part of teacher preparation.

The other important lesson of the research is the theory-practice gap which still exists. Although course work offers high conceptual bases, there is a lack of consistency between what is taught in the institutions and what is encountered in the classrooms. One way of closing this gap would be to strengthen the relationships between teacher education institutions and schools with co-designed practicum models and joint professional learning communities.

The results also indicate that competency-based models are better in comparison to the lecture-based models. Initiatives with the focus on the micro-teaching, collaboration with peers, simulation-based exercises, and performance-based assessments deliver more classroom-ready graduates. This change is part of a wider trend towards teacher preparation professional standards and accountability.

## **5. Conclusion**

This paper confirms that teacher education programs are the basic ones in shaping up the professional competencies that a teacher needs to achieve effective classroom practice. Powerful practicum experiences, systematic mentorship, reflective teaching practices and competency-based assessment frameworks have a significant impact in teacher preparedness. The findings highlight that teacher competence has a multidimensional nature and has to be trained in a balanced way in terms of subject knowledge, pedagogy, assessment, classroom management, digital literacy, and inclusive practices. Although the teacher education programs have strengths in content mastery and reflective capacity, the need to improve at an urgent rate is needed in technology integration and inclusive education training. The paper shows the significance of a shift in the application of traditional theory-based models to integrated and practice-oriented models. The preparation of teachers should be applicable to the new realities of the modern classroom, where diversity, technological advancement, and accountability have influenced students in the classroom on a daily basis. Institutions are hence required to keep on updating curricula in order to keep up with changes in educational environment.

Also, the development of professional competency must not be limited to training in pre-service. It is necessary to have good connections between pre-teaching training and life-long learning processes in maintaining teaching competence. Initial competencies can be strengthened and deepened by continuous workshops, action research possibilities, professional learning communities and programs focused on improving digital skills. The systemic approach to the problem means that policy-makers should consider investing in educational reform (teacher education) as a long-term measure of enhancing the quality of education. The accreditation standards are to focus on the measurable competency outcomes, the systematized mentorship, and the well-organized school partnerships. Performance based models of certification can also be used to ensure that the teachers have shown practical teaching competence before joining the profession.

Further studies can consider longitudinal studies of teachers during their preparation and in early years of their career to have a better understanding of how competencies change with time. A cross-regional comparison of institutions and other types may also offer more understanding of the best practices in teacher preparation. To sum up, it is important to note that effective classroom practice starts with effective teacher education. Teacher education programs can create teachers that are not necessarily merely knowledgeable but, by improving competency-based structures, making experiential learning more effective, and stimulating more reflective and inclusive teaching cultures, can create teachers that are flexible, creative, and responsive to the needs of learners in the 21 st century.

## 5.1 Policy Recommendations

- Introduce competency-based models of educating teachers.
- Increase practicum length with formal supervision.
- Enhance digital pedagogy training modules.
- Extend comprehensive education course and practice.
- Set up national teacher competency standards.
- Create formal mentorship initiatives.
- Promote action research and reflective teaching.
- Tie teacher credentials to performance-based measures.

## 6. References

- Ahmad, N., Sewani, R. R., & Channa, N. (2025). Teachers professional development and its effect on their innovative teaching strategies. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review*, 9(3), 141–156.
- Avalos, B. (2011). Teacher professional development in teaching and teacher education over ten years. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(1), 10–20.
- Borko, H. (2004). Professional development and teacher learning: Mapping the terrain. *Educational Researcher*, 33(8), 3–15.
- Borko, H., Jacobs, J., & Koellner, K. (2010). Contemporary approaches to professional development in mathematics education. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 13(1), 1–5.
- Cordingley, P., Bell, M., Rundell, B., & Evans, D. (2005). The impact of collaborative continuing professional development (CPD) on classroom teaching and learning. EPPI-Centre, University of London.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2000). Teacher quality and student achievement: A review of state policy evidence. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 8(1), 1–44.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Hyster, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Newton, X. A., & Wei, R. C. (2010). Professional development in the United States: Trends and challenges. National Staff Development Council.
- Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving impact studies of teachers' professional development: Toward better conceptualizations and measures. *Educational Researcher*, 38(3), 181–199.
- Desimone, L. M., & Pak, K. (2017). Instructional coaching as high-quality professional development. *Theory Into Practice*, 56(1), 3–12.
- Evaluating teacher competencies in Pakistan's public schools: Enhancing the impact of professional development programs. (2024). *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 106, 102467.

- Frontiers Education. (2025). A systematic review on the impact of teacher professional development on digital instructional integration and teaching practices. *Frontiers in Education*.
- Garet, M. S., Porter, A. C., Desimone, L., Birman, B. F., & Yoon, K. S. (2001). What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(4), 915–945.
- Guskey, T. R. (2000). *Evaluating professional development*. Corwin Press.
- Hargreaves, A., & Fullan, M. (2012). *Professional capital: Transforming teaching in every school*. Teachers College Press.
- Juma, A. A. (2024). Enhancing teacher professional development: Strategies, challenges, and impacts on instructional practice and student learning outcomes. *International Journal of Research in Advanced Science and Engineering Technology*.
- Nasution, S. D. K., Madhakomala, R., Rugaiyah, & Dany, A. (2024). Professional development effective from a teacher performance perspective: Study of literature in various countries. *Al-Fikrah: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan*.
- Opfer, V. D. (2016). Complexity thinking as a conceptual framework for the investigation of teachers' professional development. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 67(2), 110–122.
- Opfer, V. D., & Hough, H. J. (2016). Revisiting teacher professional learning: How teachers develop and learn. *Educational Researcher*, 45(8), 551–558.
- Opfer, V. D., & Pedder, D. (2011a). Conceptualizing teacher professional learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 81(3), 376–407.
- Opfer, V. D., & Pedder, D. (2011b). The lost promise of teacher professional development in England. *Professional Development in Education*, 37(3), 563–568.
- Opfer, V. D., & Vedder-Weiss, D. (2019). Teacher professional learning: Status and challenges in U.S. schools. *Journal of Educational Change*, 20(2), 181–197.
- Penuel, W. R., Fishman, B. J., Yamaguchi, R., & Gallagher, L. P. (2007). What makes professional development effective? Strategies that foster curriculum implementation. *American Educational Research Journal*, 44(4), 921–958.
- Pesina, R. (2025). Mentoring software in education and its impact on teacher development: An integrative literature review. *arXiv*.
- Teacher education: A report of the AERA Panel on Research and Teacher Education. (2000). *Educational Researcher*, 29(6), 21–26.
- Timperley, H. (2011). *Realizing the power of professional learning*. Open University Press.
- Timperley, H., Wilson, A., Barrar, H., & Fung, I. (2007). *Teacher professional learning and development: Best evidence synthesis*. Ministry of Education, New Zealand.
- Vescio, V., Ross, D., & Adams, A. (2008). A review of research on the impact of professional learning communities on teaching practice and student learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(1), 80–91.



- Wei, R. C., Darling-Hammond, L., Andree, A., Richardson, N., & Orphanos, S. (2009). Professional learning in the learning profession. National Staff Development Council.
- Yoon, K. S., Duncan, T., Lee, S. W.-Y., Scarloss, B., & Shapley, K. (2007). Examining the effects of teacher professional development on teaching and learning. U.S. Department of Education.