Journal of Social & Organizational Matters

Vol 4 No 1 (2025): 733-750

The Role of Foreign Policy in Shaping Pakistan's International Trade and Financial Management

Sajjad Hussain Abbasi[1], Vijay Kumar[*2], Muhammad Ramzan Kolachi[3]

[1]Ph.D Scholar Department of Economics, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Sindh Pakistan.

[2*]MSc Accounting, Finance & Regulation Glasgow Caledonian University, UK.

[3]Assistant Professor, Department of International Relations, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Sindh Pakistan.

Corresponding author: vijay.raheja500@gmail.com

Keywords: *Foreign Policy; International Trade; Financial Management; Foreign Direct Investment; Pakistan*

DOI No:
https://doi.org/10.56976/jsom.v4i1.476

Foreign policy plays a significant role in determining the international economic opportunities and financial constraints faced by developing countries. In Pakistan, diplomatic relations, strategic partnerships, geopolitical tensions, international sanctions, and engagement with multilateral financial institutions influence trade flows, foreign direct investment, external borrowing, exchange-rate stability, and balance-of-payments management. This study examines the role of foreign policy in shaping Pakistan's international trade and financial management, emphasizing the relationship between diplomatic engagement and economic performance. It explores Pakistan's relations with China, the United States, Gulf countries, and international financial institutions, alongside the implications of regional security dynamics and global economic realignments. The study adopts a qualitative-dominant mixed-methods approach based on secondary data from the State Bank of Pakistan, Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, World Bank, International Monetary Fund, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, and relevant academic literature. Descriptive statistical analysis, trend analysis, comparative assessment, and thematic analysis are proposed to evaluate the relationship between foreign policy developments and economic outcomes. The analytical framework considers exports, imports, foreign direct investment, external debt, remittances, exchange-rate movements, and foreign-exchange reserves as principal indicators. It further emphasizes that diplomatic initiatives alone cannot guarantee economic gains without domestic reforms in taxation, productivity, governance, trade facilitation, and financial regulation. The article concludes that Pakistan requires an economically oriented, predictable, and diversified foreign policy supported by prudent financial management and export-led structural transformation to strengthen external-sector resilience and sustainable economic growth.

1. Introduction

Foreign policy and international economics are closely interconnected because governments' external political decisions influence access to overseas markets, international capital, development assistance, technology, energy supplies, and strategic resources. In contemporary international relations, diplomacy is not limited to security cooperation or political negotiations. It also functions as an instrument of economic statecraft through which countries pursue trade agreements, attract investment, secure financing, negotiate debt arrangements, and strengthen their positions within the global economy (Hasnat et al. 2025).

For developing economies, the relationship between foreign policy and economic management is particularly important because domestic production and investment frequently depend on imported machinery, energy, intermediate goods, foreign technology, and international financial resources. External shocks can create substantial pressure on foreign-exchange reserves, exchange rates, fiscal balances, and public debt. Consequently, diplomatic relationships and the credibility of international commitments can affect the economic environment within which governments formulate trade and financial policies. Pakistan provides an important case for examining this relationship. Since independence in 1947, its foreign policy has been shaped by national security considerations, relations with neighboring countries, strategic partnerships with major powers, participation in multilateral institutions, and efforts to secure external economic assistance. These priorities have influenced the country's engagement with international markets and its access to financial resources (Ali & Peng, 2024).

Pakistan's economic structure makes these relationships especially significant. The country requires foreign exchange to finance imports of petroleum, machinery, industrial inputs, and other essential commodities. At the same time, export performance, workers' remittances, foreign investment, and external borrowing contribute to its capacity to meet international payment obligations. When foreign-exchange earnings grow more slowly than external financing requirements, the government faces pressure to adjust imports, manage the exchange rate, negotiate financing, or seek international assistance. Foreign policy can affect each of these mechanisms. Constructive relations with trading partners may facilitate market access, business confidence, technology transfer, and investment. Diplomatic engagement with international financial institutions may help a country negotiate financing arrangements and technical assistance. Conversely, political instability, sanctions risks, regional conflict, and deteriorating diplomatic relations may increase uncertainty for exporters, investors, lenders, and international commercial partners (Farrel & Newman, 2019).

However, foreign policy does not operate independently of domestic economic conditions. A country may enjoy favorable diplomatic relations but still experience weak exports because of low productivity, inadequate infrastructure, expensive energy, limited product diversification, or inconsistent taxation. Similarly, access to international financing can temporarily relieve external pressure without resolving underlying fiscal and structural weaknesses. The central problem is therefore not simply whether foreign policy affects economic performance, but how diplomatic

decisions interact with domestic economic institutions to influence trade competitiveness and financial stability (Minhas, 2023).

1.1 Pakistan's international trade and foreign policy

Pakistan's international trade reflects its production structure, domestic demand, regional geography, commercial relationships, and changing global conditions. Its exports include textiles and apparel, rice, leather products, sporting goods, surgical instruments, and other manufactured and agricultural products. Imports include petroleum and energy products, machinery, chemicals, edible commodities, and industrial inputs. The sustainability of this trade structure depends partly on diplomatic engagement with major destination markets and suppliers. Access to international markets requires more than political goodwill; it also depends on tariff preferences, standards compliance, customs procedures, transport connectivity, and predictable commercial regulations. Relations with China are particularly relevant because economic cooperation includes infrastructure, energy, industrial development, and connectivity associated with the China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC). Such cooperation can create opportunities to improve logistics, reduce infrastructure constraints, and attract productive investment. Nevertheless, the commercial value of these projects depends on implementation quality, debt sustainability, domestic industrial linkages, and the capacity of Pakistani firms to compete in regional and global markets (Nitza, 2020).

Pakistan's relationship with the United States is also economically important because the US market, investment environment, technology ecosystem, and financial system influence international commercial opportunities. Stable economic engagement can support exports, investment, and cooperation in areas such as digital services, education, agriculture, and technology. Political disagreements or uncertainty may complicate the broader environment, although their economic effects must be assessed against market demand, domestic competitiveness, and global trends. Gulf countries, including Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates, contribute through energy supplies, investment, employment opportunities for Pakistani workers, and remittance flows. These relationships influence both the real economy and the financial account of the balance of payments. Diplomatic engagement may support investment commitments and labour mobility, but long-term benefits depend on the actual realization of investment projects and the stability of employment opportunities. Relations with India and Afghanistan also affect regional trade and transport connectivity. Political tensions, border restrictions, and security concerns can limit the development of regional supply chains and increase the costs of cross-border commerce. Consequently, the regional diplomatic environment influences Pakistan's capacity to benefit from its geographic position (Shad et al. 2024)

1.1 Foreign policy and financial management

Financial management at the national level involves the mobilization, allocation, monitoring, and repayment of financial resources. In the external sector, it includes foreign-exchange reserve management, external borrowing, debt servicing, exchange-rate policy, management of international payments, and coordination with multilateral lenders. Pakistan's

external financial position is influenced by merchandise trade, services trade, primary and secondary income, remittances, foreign direct investment, portfolio flows, and external debt. These components are recorded within the balance-of-payments framework. Persistent current-account pressures can increase the need for financing, while unstable capital flows can create volatility in reserves and the exchange rate. Foreign policy affects financial management through several channels. First, diplomatic credibility can influence lenders' and investors' perceptions of political and regulatory risk. Second, engagement with international financial institutions can determine the availability and conditions of external financing. Third, strategic relationships may facilitate project financing, deposits, investment commitments, and energy arrangements. Fourth, geopolitical tensions can increase uncertainty and potentially restrict access to markets, technology, or financial channels (Basit et al., 2025).

These relationships should not be interpreted as automatic or exclusively political. Financing arrangements depend on economic fundamentals, policy commitments, debt sustainability, institutional credibility, and the willingness of counterparties to provide funds. Similarly, reserve stability depends not only on diplomatic relations but also on exports, imports, remittances, exchange-rate management, and the timing of external payments. Foreign policy and financial management must therefore be coordinated. A diplomatic initiative that produces an investment announcement without commercially viable projects may have limited economic value. Likewise, external financing that increases debt without generating productive capacity may postpone rather than resolve financial difficulties (Cevik, 2023).

Pakistan's external economic management faces the interconnected challenges of limited export diversification, recurring balance-of-payments pressures, dependence on imported energy and capital goods, fluctuating foreign investment, and substantial external financing requirements. Foreign policy may help address these constraints by improving international market access, attracting productive capital, strengthening regional connectivity, and facilitating financing from bilateral and multilateral partners.

Nevertheless, the economic benefits of diplomatic engagement are not always translated into sustained improvements in trade competitiveness or financial stability. Strategic cooperation may generate infrastructure investment without sufficiently expanding exports, while external borrowing may provide short-term liquidity without improving debt repayment capacity.The research problem is to understand the mechanisms through which foreign policy influences Pakistan's international trade and financial management, and to identify policy arrangements that can convert diplomatic relationships into durable economic benefits.

The study is relevant to policymakers in foreign affairs, finance, commerce, and economic planning. It also contributes to international economics by examining how political relationships interact with trade, investment, and external financial constraints in a developing economy. For researchers, the study provides a framework for investigating the relationship between diplomatic developments and measurable economic indicators. For policymakers, it emphasizes the

importance of economic diplomacy, export-oriented industrial development, diversified international partnerships, and sustainable financial management.

Existing research frequently examines trade competitiveness, investment, external debt, and reserve management separately. This study integrates these dimensions to investigate how Pakistan's foreign policy may influence trade opportunities and financial stability simultaneously. It distinguishes the short-term liquidity benefits of external financing from the long-term gains associated with export diversification and productive investment. Its contribution is integrative and policy-oriented, while causal conclusions require empirical testing.

1.2 Research Objectives

1. To analyze the relationship between Pakistan's foreign policy and international trade performance.
2. To examine the influence of bilateral and multilateral diplomatic relationships on foreign direct investment and external financing.
3. To assess how geopolitical tensions and regional relations affect trade diversification and financial stability.
4. To investigate the role of economic diplomacy in managing foreign-exchange reserves, external debt, and balance-of-payments pressures.
5. To recommend foreign policy and financial management strategies that support export-led growth and long-term economic resilience.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundations

The relationship between foreign policy and economic performance can be understood through international political economy and economic statecraft. International political economy explains how political power, institutions, and national interests shape economic relations. Governments influence trade and finance through agreements, sanctions, investment policies, and international institutions (Drezner, 2003). For Pakistan, diplomatic relations can create access to markets and external financing, but dependence on strategic partners may also generate vulnerabilities. Economic statecraft explains how aid, trade preferences, investment incentives, and financial restrictions can support foreign policy objectives (Baier, 2007). Nevertheless, economic outcomes also depend on productivity, market demand, and macroeconomic stability.

2.2 Trade and Diplomatic Relationships

Traditional trade theories, including comparative advantage and the Heckscher–Ohlin model, explain international trade through specialization, productivity, and factor endowments. These perspectives help explain Pakistan's potential in textiles, agriculture, sporting goods, and manufacturing. However, productive capacity alone does not guarantee international market access. Firms also require finance, efficient transportation, market information, quality

certification, and distribution networks. Foreign policy can facilitate these requirements through trade agreements, commercial missions, customs cooperation, and diplomatic efforts to resolve market-access barriers. Nevertheless, lasting benefits depend on domestic competitiveness and institutional support (Gilpin, 2001).

2.3 Investment and Economic Development

Foreign direct investment depends on infrastructure, political stability, regulatory predictability, market size, and profitability. Diplomatic engagement can encourage investment by strengthening commercial relationships and negotiating investment agreements. China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) cooperation illustrates how diplomacy can facilitate investment in energy, transportation, and infrastructure. Similarly, partnerships with Gulf countries may create opportunities in agriculture, mining, energy, and logistics. However, announced commitments must be distinguished from realized investment. Sustainable benefits depend on technology transfer, productivity improvements, industrial development, and stronger domestic supply chains (Buthe & Milner, 2014).

2.4 Financial Institutions and External Stability

The International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, and regional development banks influence Pakistan's financial management through lending, technical assistance, and economic reform programs. Pakistan's engagement with the IMF reflects the importance of external financing during balance-of-payments pressures. Such financing can support foreign-exchange reserves and meet immediate obligations, but it cannot automatically resolve structural weaknesses. Sustainable financial management requires stronger exports, effective revenue collection, productive investment, and manageable debt-servicing costs. The central question is whether external financing supports long-term economic transformation or merely postpones necessary adjustments (Rodrik, 2000).

2.5 Geopolitics, Remittances, and Trade Uncertainty

Geopolitical tensions can disrupt transportation routes, restrict financial transactions, increase insurance costs, and discourage investment. Pakistan's strategic location offers opportunities for regional connectivity, although security concerns and diplomatic disputes may restrict access to neighboring markets. Assessing these effects requires distinguishing geopolitical influences from domestic instability and global economic shocks (Farrell & Newman, 2019).

Foreign policy also affects labour mobility and remittances. Cooperation with Gulf countries can support employment agreements, worker protection, and formal transfer channels. Remittances contribute to household income and foreign-exchange availability; however, they cannot replace export diversification or productive domestic employment (Shad et al. 2024).

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The study adopts a secondary-data-based mixed analytical design combining qualitative thematic analysis with quantitative descriptive and comparative analysis. The qualitative component examines diplomatic relationships, strategic partnerships, trade agreements, multilateral financing, and regional security developments. The quantitative component considers indicators of trade performance and external financial stability, including exports, investment, external debt, remittances, and foreign-exchange reserves. The research is explanatory because it explores mechanisms linking diplomatic developments with economic outcomes. Since the study relies on observational secondary data, findings are interpreted as descriptive relationships and plausible explanations rather than definitive evidence of causality.

3.2 Data Sources and Study Period

The proposed empirical period is 2000–2025, subject to the availability and comparability of annual data. A longer period may be used for selected variables if definitions remain consistent.

The principal data sources are:

- State Bank of Pakistan (SBP): balance of payments, foreign-exchange reserves, remittances, exchange rates, and financial-sector indicators.
- Pakistan Bureau of Statistics (PBS): merchandise exports, imports, commodity composition, and trading-partner data.
- World Bank and World Integrated Trade Solution (WITS): trade indicators, trade composition, and international comparisons.
- International Monetary Fund (IMF): program documentation, external financing, debt indicators, and macroeconomic assessments.
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD): foreign direct investment and investment-policy information.
- Ministry of Commerce and Ministry of Foreign Affairs: trade policy, diplomatic initiatives, bilateral agreements, and official policy documents.

The World Bank's WITS country profile provides a starting point for evaluating Pakistan's merchandise trade and trade structure.

3.3 Variables and Operational Definitions

A key methodological challenge is measuring foreign policy. Diplomatic engagement is multidimensional and cannot be represented adequately by a simple count of official visits. The study therefore proposes a documented event-based index covering trade agreements, investment agreements, sanctions-related developments, financing agreements, and major diplomatic disruptions.

Table No 1: Operational Definitions

Variable	Symbol	Measurement
Merchandise exports	EXP	Annual exports in US dollars
Merchandise imports	IMP	Annual imports in US dollars
Trade balance	TB	Exports minus imports
Foreign direct investment	FDI	Net FDI inflows in US dollars or as a percentage of GDP
Foreign-exchange reserves	RES	Official reserve assets, with a consistent definition
External debt	EXD	External debt stock in US dollars or as a percentage of GNI/GDP
Workers' remittances	REM	Annual personal remittance receipts
Exchange-rate movement	EXR	Annual average or end-period PKR per US dollar
Trade openness	TO	Exports plus imports divided by GDP
Foreign policy engagement	FPE	Coded diplomatic events, agreements, or a documented policy index
Geopolitical uncertainty	GPU	Coded events or an established, transparently defined indicator

Each event would be coded according to its type, timing, economic relevance, and direction. The coding rules should be specified before estimation, and a second researcher should independently code a sample to assess consistency.

3.4 Analytical Techniques

Descriptive statistics: Means, standard deviations, minimums, maximums, and growth rates will summarize the behaviour of trade and financial indicators. Annual trends will be used to identify changes in exports, imports, investment, reserves, and remittances around major diplomatic or financing events. Selected periods and trading relationships will be compared to examine differences in trade and financing outcomes.

3.4.1 Thematic Analysis

Official documents and academic sources will be coded into themes such as market access, investment facilitation, debt diplomacy, regional connectivity, sanctions risk, and financial stabilization.

3.4.2 Correlation analysis

Pearson or Spearman correlations may be calculated to assess the direction and strength of relationships between selected variables. Correlation alone cannot establish causality.

Regression analysis: Subject to data quality and sufficient observations, a log-linear model may be estimated:

$$\mathbf{lnEXPt = B0 + B1FPEt+B2\ lnREERt+B3lnGDPt+B4TOt+B5GPUt+B6INFRAt+E}$$

Where:

- (EXPt) denotes Pakistan's real or appropriately deflated exports.
- (FPEt) denotes the foreign policy engagement measure.

- (REERt) denotes the real effective exchange rate.
- (GDPt) represents the real GDP of relevant trading partners.
- (TOt) denotes trade openness.
- (GPUt) denotes geopolitical uncertainty.
- (INFRAt) denotes infrastructure capacity.
- E denotes the error term.

For a bilateral trade analysis, the model can be expanded into a gravity framework using Pakistan's exports to partner countries, partner-country GDP, distance, common language, trade agreements, and geopolitical disruptions. A separate financial model may examine FDI, reserve accumulation, or external financing. Because these variables can be jointly determined with policy, lagged variables, appropriate controls, and robustness checks would be necessary.

4.5 Econometric Diagnostics

If annual time-series regression is undertaken, the following procedures should be applied:

1. Augmented Dickey–Fuller (ADF) and Phillips–Perron (PP) tests for unit roots.
2. Johansen cointegration testing if the variables are integrated of the same order and the sample supports a multivariate framework.
3. An error-correction model where a valid cointegrating relationship is established.
4. Breusch–Godfrey tests for serial correlation.
5. White or Breusch–Pagan tests for heteroskedasticity.
6. Variance inflation factors for multicollinearity.
7. Ramsey RESET and residual stability diagnostics.
8. Robust standard errors and sensitivity tests for alternative variable definitions.

The sample size is an important limitation. Twenty-six annual observations for 2000–2025 may be insufficient for a heavily parameterized model, particularly when several control variables and structural breaks are included. A parsimonious model or a bilateral panel dataset would generally be preferable.

3.6 Reliability, Validity, and Ethical Considerations

Data will be cross-checked across official sources, with differences in fiscal-year and calendar-year reporting explicitly documented. Nominal trade and debt values will not be compared across years without considering inflation and exchange-rate changes. Reserve definitions and debt coverage will remain consistent throughout the analysis. The study uses public secondary data and published literature. It does not involve human participants or confidential

financial records. All factual claims and numerical results should be traceable to the relevant source.

3.7 Methodological Limitations

Diplomatic events often occur alongside economic crises, political transitions, commodity-price shocks, and domestic reforms. These overlapping developments make it difficult to isolate the independent effect of foreign policy. Furthermore, trade agreements and investment announcements may take years to influence actual exports and capital flows.

The findings should therefore be interpreted in light of reverse causality, omitted variables, data limitations, and the distinction between announced commitments and realized financial flows.

4. Results and Analysis

This section presents the principal analytical findings using published trade indicators, IMF programmed documentation, and the theoretical framework developed above. The tables are designed to show the economic mechanisms and available evidence. They are not the output of a newly estimated regression.

4.1 Pakistan's International Trade Position

Table 2 presents a snapshot of Pakistan's merchandise trade based on the World Bank's World Integrated Trade Solution (WITS). The source reports 2025 merchandise exports of approximately US$30.851 billion and imports of approximately US$65.373 billion. The figures are reported on different valuation bases—exports FOB and imports CIF—so the resulting trade balance should be interpreted as the source's reported merchandise-trade indicator rather than a fully reconciled balance-of-payments measure.

Table No 2: Pakistan's Merchandise Trade Position, 2025

Indicator	Value
Merchandise exports (FOB)	US$30.851 billion
Merchandise imports (CIF)	US$65.373 billion
Total reported trade	US$96.224 billion
Reported merchandise trade balance	−US$34.522 billion
Exports of goods and services (% of GDP)	10.48%*
Imports of goods and services (% of GDP)	18.12%*

Source: World Bank WITS country snapshot. *The goods-and-services shares are from a separately reported World Development Indicators dataset and may refer to a different reference year. They should not be interpreted as 2025 ratios.

The reported figures indicate a substantial difference between the value of merchandise imports and exports. This gap is relevant to foreign policy because Pakistan requires reliable foreign-exchange earnings and financing to pay for imported energy, machinery, intermediate inputs, and other commodities.

Diplomatic engagement may help exporters access additional markets and encourage investment in export-oriented industries. Nevertheless, a diplomatic agreement cannot by itself eliminate a trade deficit. The outcome depends on domestic productivity, export prices, product quality, industrial capacity, energy costs, and the import content of production.

A further distinction is necessary between trade creation and import displacement. Importing machinery may increase the short-term trade deficit while expanding productive capacity and future exports. The economic value of imports therefore depends on their composition, financing, and contribution to productivity. The figures support the conclusion that strengthening exports is an important policy priority. They do not, by themselves, establish that foreign policy caused the observed trade imbalance.

4.2 Foreign Policy Channels and Economic Outcomes

Table 3 summarizes the main mechanisms through which diplomatic decisions may influence Pakistan's external economic position.

Table No 3: Foreign Policy Channels Affecting International Trade and Financial Management

Foreign policy channel	Potential trade effect	Potential financial effect	Main limitation
Bilateral trade diplomacy	Better market access and commercial cooperation	Higher export receipts if sales expand	Agreements may not translate into actual sales
China–Pakistan economic cooperation	Infrastructure, connectivity, and industrial opportunities	Project financing and investment inflows	Debt, project viability, and domestic linkages matter
US economic engagement	Access to a major export market and technology networks	Potential investment and business financing	Outcomes depend on market conditions and competitiveness
Gulf partnerships	Energy cooperation and labour-market access	Remittances, deposits, and potential investment	Exposure to energy and host-country economic cycles
IMF and multilateral engagement	Indirect support through macroeconomic stabilization	Programme financing and reserve support	Conditionality and repayment obligations
Regional diplomatic normalization	Potentially lower cross-border trade costs	Reduced logistics and transaction risks	Security and political barriers may persist
Diplomatic disruption or sanctions risk	Reduced market access or greater compliance costs	Potentially higher financing and settlement risks	Effects vary by restriction and exposure

Source: Author's synthesis based on international political economy and economic statecraft literature, including Baldwin (1985), Gilpin (2001), and Farrell and Newman (2019), and IMF programmed documentation.

The table indicates that foreign policy can affect trade and financial management through several channels at the same time. For example, an infrastructure partnership may improve transport capacity, facilitate industrial production, and create financing obligations. Its overall

contribution depends on whether productivity gains and export revenues are sufficient to justify the investment costs.

Similarly, bilateral financing may reduce immediate pressure on foreign-exchange reserves but increase future repayment requirements. The distinction between short-term liquidity and long-term economic sustainability is therefore central to evaluating diplomatic achievements.The most beneficial foreign policy initiatives are those that combine improved international access with measurable domestic economic gains.

5.3 External financing and financial management

Pakistan's relationship with multilateral institutions provides an important example of the interaction between diplomacy and financial management. The IMF's 2024 Extended Fund Facility documentation described a 37-month arrangement involving approximately US$7 billion, alongside reforms intended to address external financing needs, rebuild reserves, strengthen fiscal management, and improve the balance of payments. Later IMF programme reports continued to identify financing needs and bilateral and multilateral support as important elements of Pakistan's external financing framework.

Table No 4: External Financing: Principal Benefits and Risks

Financing source	Potential benefit	Principal risk	Financial management priority
IMF programmes	Balance-of-payments support and policy coordination	Conditionality and repayment obligations	Meet agreed targets while protecting essential development spending
Multilateral development banks	Longer-term development financing	Project delays and implementation weaknesses	Prioritize economically viable projects
Bilateral loans and deposits	Immediate liquidity and rollover support	Refinancing concentration and maturity risk	Diversify creditors and extend maturities
Foreign direct investment	Productive capital, technology, and employment	Repatriation of profits and uneven domestic linkages	Attract export-oriented, productivity-enhancing investment
Remittances	Household income and foreign-exchange inflows	Dependence on external labour markets	Reduce transfer costs and strengthen formal channels
International bond markets	Access to market-based external finance	Interest-rate, refinancing, and currency risk	Borrow only within a credible debt-sustainability framework

Source: Author's analytical synthesis informed by IMF program documentation.

External financing plays a stabilizing role when it helps a country meet international payment obligations and maintain confidence during temporary financing pressures. However, its sustainability depends on the terms, currency denomination, maturity, and intended use of the funds. Foreign policy can facilitate access to financing, but financial management determines whether the resulting obligations remain manageable. A sound strategy should therefore assess the cost of borrowing, future debt service, contingent liabilities, exchange-rate exposure, and the

expected economic return of financed projects. An additional concern is the concentration of financing sources. Excessive dependence on a small number of creditors can increase refinancing vulnerability when diplomatic priorities or economic conditions change. Diversification across lenders and a stronger domestic revenue base can reduce this risk.

4.4 Diplomatic Partnerships and Economic Opportunities

Pakistan's relationships with China, the United States, Gulf countries, and multilateral institutions serve different economic purposes. Their value should be assessed according to realized outcomes rather than diplomatic declarations alone.

Table No 5: Strategic Relationships and Their Potential Economic Contribution

Partner or relationship	Main opportunity	Relevant performance indicators
China	Infrastructure, energy, industrial cooperation, and connectivity	Project completion, export growth, productivity, debt-service costs
United States	Export markets, technology, services, and private investment	Export receipts, investment inflows, market diversification
Saudi Arabia and UAE	Energy cooperation, investment, and employment	Realized investment, remittances, energy financing costs
European markets	Market access, standards, and higher-value exports	Export diversification, compliance costs, value added
IMF and development banks	Macroeconomic support and development finance	Reserve adequacy, financing costs, reform implementation
Regional neighbours	Trade routes, transit, and regional supply chains	Cross-border trade, transit costs, shipment times

Source: Author's synthesis. The table identifies potential channels and evaluation measures; it does not claim that each opportunity has already produced a quantified economic benefit.

Interpretation

The table highlights the importance of a diversified foreign policy. Different partners can support different components of Pakistan's economic strategy. China may contribute to infrastructure and industrial capacity, Gulf relationships can support energy cooperation and remittances, and Western markets can provide opportunities for higher-value goods and services. Diversification should not be understood as replacing one strategic partner with another. It means building overlapping economic relationships so that a change in any single bilateral relationship does not generate disproportionate trade or financing disruption. Pakistan should also distinguish between strategic alignment and commercial performance. Investment projects should be evaluated using transparent feasibility studies, competitive procurement, expected export revenues, employment effects, and long-term fiscal and external liabilities.

4.5 Illustrative Financial Vulnerability Assessment

A qualitative assessment can help identify where foreign policy and financial management interact most directly.

Table No 6: Qualitative Risk Assessment of Pakistan's External Sector

Risk area	Potential severity	Reason
Persistent merchandise trade gap	High	Sustained import requirements may exceed export earnings
Dependence on external financing	High	Repayment and rollover needs can expose the economy to financing shocks
Concentration of export products	Medium–high	Sector-specific shocks can reduce foreign-exchange earnings
Concentration of financing partners	Medium–high	Changes in creditor decisions may affect refinancing
Regional trade restrictions	Medium–high	Reduced connectivity can limit nearby markets and supply chains
Investment implementation risk	Medium–high	Announced commitments may not become productive capital
External energy-price shocks	High	Energy imports can increase foreign-exchange requirements
Diplomatic uncertainty	Variable	Effects depend on the partners, markets, and financial channels involved

Source: Author's qualitative assessment. Severity classifications are analytical judgments, not estimated probabilities or measured risk scores.

The assessment suggests that Pakistan's vulnerabilities are interconnected. A rise in energy-import costs may increase the trade deficit; a larger financing requirement may increase reliance on bilateral support or international borrowing; and uncertainty about future financing may affect the exchange rate and investor confidence.

A diversified foreign policy can reduce some external risks, but it cannot remove exposure to global commodity prices or domestic macroeconomic weaknesses. Effective risk management therefore requires coordinated diplomatic, fiscal, monetary, trade, and industrial policies.

4.6 Overall Synthesis of Findings

The analysis identifies five major findings concerning the relationship between foreign policy, international trade, and financial management in Pakistan. First, foreign policy influences market access, trade cooperation, regional connectivity, and investor confidence. However, economic benefits depend on domestic competitiveness and institutional efficiency.

Second, bilateral and multilateral relationships influence external financing availability and conditions. Although international financial assistance can reduce short-term balance-of-payments pressures, sustainable stability requires fiscal discipline, productive investment, and stronger export earnings.

Third, geopolitical uncertainty increases economic vulnerability when countries depend heavily on particular markets, lenders, energy suppliers, or transit routes. Fourth, diplomatic diversification creates opportunities for alternative markets, investment, and financing. Fifth, domestic reforms, including improved infrastructure, productivity, taxation, and trade facilitation, remain essential. These findings are analytical and require econometric testing for statistical confirmation.

4.7 Discussion

Foreign policy should be viewed as an instrument of economic development rather than solely as a mechanism for managing political and security relationships. In Pakistan, export earnings finance imports, while remittances and external financing support foreign-exchange availability. Consequently, diplomatic decisions can influence balance-of-payments management and macroeconomic stability. Economic diplomacy should be evaluated through measurable outcomes, including export growth, realized foreign direct investment, employment creation, technology transfer, and market diversification. Diplomatic visits and announced investment agreements alone cannot demonstrate economic success.

External financing can provide temporary relief during financial crises, but excessive dependence on short-term borrowing increases repayment and refinancing risks. Pakistan should therefore prioritize sustainable financing and productive investment. Constructive relations with China, the United States, Gulf countries, European economies, and regional partners can expand economic opportunities. Nevertheless, diplomatic cooperation cannot compensate for high production costs, unreliable energy, weak infrastructure, and regulatory uncertainty. Effective economic diplomacy consequently requires coordination between foreign affairs, commerce, finance, industry, and investment institutions.

5. Conclusion

This study examined how foreign policy shapes Pakistan’s international trade and financial management. The analysis suggests that diplomatic engagement can facilitate market access, attract investment, improve regional connectivity, and support external financing. However, these benefits depend on domestic competitiveness, institutional capacity, and the quality of international agreements.

Foreign policy should be evaluated through measurable outcomes, including export growth, investment realization, market diversification, productivity, reserve adequacy, and debt sustainability. Pakistan should maintain constructive relationships with multiple international partners while strengthening domestic economic reforms.

Ultimately, foreign policy becomes an effective instrument of economic development when connected with export competitiveness, productive investment, and sustainable financial management. Because this study is analytical and descriptive, future econometric research is necessary to establish the independent causal effects of diplomatic initiatives on Pakistan’s trade and financial outcomes.

5.1 Policy Recommendations

Pakistan should integrate economic objectives into its foreign policy through the following measures:

1. **Export-oriented diplomacy:** Embassies and commercial missions should identify overseas buyers, facilitate business connections, and promote Pakistani products.
2. **Export diversification:** Value-added textiles, pharmaceuticals, processed agricultural products, engineering goods, information technology, and business services should receive greater attention.
3. **Sustainable financial diplomacy:** External financing agreements should be assessed according to interest rates, repayment schedules, maturity periods, currency exposure, and expected economic returns.
4. **Productive bilateral investment:** CPEC and other investment projects should be evaluated according to employment generation, technology transfer, productivity, export potential, and fiscal sustainability.
5. **Gulf cooperation:** Pakistan should strengthen partnerships with Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, and other Gulf countries in energy, agriculture, minerals, information technology, and industrial investment.
6. **Engagement with Western markets:** Commercial cooperation with the United States and Europe should emphasize investment promotion, digital trade, research, and compliance with international standards.
7. **Regional connectivity:** Improved customs procedures, transport infrastructure, and lawful cross-border trade can reduce logistical costs and expand market opportunities.
8. **Foreign-exchange management:** The State Bank of Pakistan should strengthen reserve planning, external payment forecasting, and monitoring of international financing risks.
9. **Institutional coordination:** Relevant ministries and economic agencies should coordinate negotiations, monitor agreements, and publish performance reports.
10. **Empirical monitoring:** Pakistan should develop an economic diplomacy database linking diplomatic initiatives with actual trade, investment, remittance, and financing outcomes.

6. References

Alfaro, L., Chanda, A., Kalemli-Ozcan, S., & Sayek, S. (2004). FDI and economic growth: The role of local financial markets. *Journal of International Economics*, 64(1), 89–112.

Ali, G., & Peng, N. (2024). Geography: The geopolitics of China–Pakistan relations. *Pacific Focus*, 39(3), 646–669.

Allee, T., & Peinhardt, C. (2011). Contingent credibility: The impact of investment treaty violations on foreign direct investment. *International Organization*, 65(3), 401–432.

Anderson, J. E., & van Wincoop, E. (2003). Gravity with gravitas: A solution to the border puzzle. *American Economic Review*, 93(1), 170–192.

Baier, S. L., & Bergstrand, J. H. (2007). Do free trade agreements actually increase members' international trade? *Journal of International Economics*, 71(1), 72–95.

Basit, A., Najeeb Ullah, & Farooq, M. I. (2025). Economic diplomacy of Pakistan: Trade, aid, and strategic partnerships (2001–2025). Pakistan *Journal of Social Science Review*, 11(1), 172–195.

Büthe, T., & Milner, H. V. (2008). The politics of foreign direct investment into developing countries: Increasing FDI through international trade agreements? *American Journal of Political Science*, 52(4), 741–762.

Cevik, S. (2023). *Long live globalization: Geopolitical shocks and international trade.* International Monetary Fund.

Drezner, D. W. (2003). The hidden hand of economic coercion. *International Organization*, 57(3), 643–659.

Eichengreen, B., & Leblang, D. (2003). Capital account liberalization and growth: Was Mr. Mahathir right? *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 8(3), 205–224.

Farrell, H., & Newman, A. L. (2019). Weaponized interdependence: How global economic networks shape state coercion. *International Security*, 44(1), 42–79.

Gilpin, R. (2001). *Global political economy: Understanding the international economic order.* Princeton University Press.

Glick, R., & Rose, A. K. (2002). Does a currency union affect trade? The time-series evidence. *European Economic Review*, 46(6), 1125–1151.

Hasnat, H., Shah, S. H., & Omer, M. (2025). The role of geopolitics in repeated foreign exchange crisis in Pakistan. *Finance Research Letters*, 86, Article 108924.

Helpman, E., Melitz, M., & Rubinstein, Y. (2008). Estimating trade flows: Trading partners and trading volumes. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 123(2), 441–487.

Jensen, N. M. (2003). Democratic governance and multinational corporations: Political regimes and inflows of foreign direct investment. *International Organization*, 57(3), 587–616.

Kose, M. A., Prasad, E. S., Rogoff, K., & Wei, S.-J. (2009). Financial globalization: A reappraisal. *IMF Staff Papers*, 56(1), 8–62.

Li, Q., & Resnick, A. (2003). Reversal of fortunes: Democratic institutions and foreign direct investment inflows to developing countries. *International Organization*, 57(1), 175–211.

Mansfield, E. D., Milner, H. V., & Rosendorff, B. P. (2002). Why democracies cooperate more: Electoral control and international trade agreements. *International Organization*, 56(3), 477–513.

Martin, P., Mayer, T., & Thoenig, M. (2008). Make trade not war? *The Review of Economic Studies*, 75(3), 865–900.

Melitz, M. J. (2003). The impact of trade on intra-industry reallocations and aggregate industry productivity. *Econometrica*, 71(6), 1695–1725.

Minhas, A. M. (2023). Critical evaluation of Pakistan–China Free Trade Agreement: Policy lessons. *Journal of Pakistan Administration*, 44(2), 71–87.

Neumayer, E., & Spess, L. (2005). Do bilateral investment treaties increase foreign direct investment to developing countries? *World Development*, 33(10), 1567–1585.

Nitza-Makowska, A. (2020). China–Pakistan Economic Corridor and sustainable development goal implementation in Pakistan: Fostering sustainable connectivity in a fragile context? *Journal of Peacebuilding & Development*, 15(3),12-25.

Rodrik, D. (2000). How far will international economic integration go? *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 14(1), 177–186.

Rose, A. K. (2004). Do we really know that the WTO increases trade? *American Economic Review*, 94(1), 98–114.

Shad, M. R., Mustafa, A., & Tariq, M. (2024). Pakistan's economic relations with China (2015–2023): Challenges, opportunities and policy lessons. *Journal of Pakistan-China Studies*, 5(1), 1–19.

Subramanian, A., & Wei, S.-J. (2007). The WTO promotes trade, strongly but unevenly. *Journal of International Economics*, 72(1), 151–175.

Tomz, M., Goldstein, J. L., & Rivers, D. (2007). Do we really know that the WTO increases trade? Comment. *American Economic Review*, 97(5), 2005–2018.